1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
3)
4	Douglas A. Kelley, in his) File No. 19-cv-1756 capacity as the Trustee of the) (WMW)
5	BMO Litigation Trust,))
6	Plaintiff,) St. Paul, Minnesota) November 8, 2022
7	vs.) 9:47 a.m.)
8	BMO Harris Bank N.A., as) successor to M&I Marshall and) Ilsley Bank,)
9) Defendant.)
10)
11	
12	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILHELMINA M. WRIGHT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	(JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS - VOLUME XVII)
15	(COM THE THOUSED FROM HVII)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Proceedings reported by certified court reporter; transcript produced with computer.

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	Robins Kaplan, LLP MICHAEL A. COLLYARD, ESQ. DAVID E. MARDER, ESQ.
3		PETER C. IHRIG, ESQ. MORGIA D. HOLMES, ESQ.
4		MICHAEL D. REIF, ESQ. 800 LaSalle Avenue
5		Suite 2800 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
6		Anthony, Ostlund, Louwagie,
7		Dressen, Boylan, P.A. JOSEPH W. ANTHONY, ESQ.
8		JOSEPH R. RICHIE, ESQ. RYAN M. LAWRENCE, ESQ.
9		90 South Seventh Street Suite 3600
10		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
11	For the Defendant:	Stinson, LLP KEITH S. MOHEBAN, ESQ.
12		ADINE S. MOMOH, ESQ. 50 South Sixth Street
13		Suite 2600
14		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
15		Debevoise & Plimpton, LLP JOHN GLEESON, ESQ.
16		MICHAEL SCHAPER, ESQ. SUSAN REAGAN GITTES, ESQ.
17		MORGAN A. DAVIS, ESQ. 919 Third Avenue
18		New York, New York 10022
19		Mayer Brown, LLP JOSHUA D. YOUNT, ESQ.
20		71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606
21		Mayer Brown, LLP
22		RICHARD A. SPEHR, ESQ. GINA PARLOVECCHIO, ESQ.
23		1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020
24	Court Reporter:	LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR 316 North Robert Street
25		St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

1	<u>I 1</u>	N D E X
2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	JURY QUESTION	3820
4	VERDICT	3824
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	(JURY NOT PRESENT)
4	THE COURT: So we received a message from the jury
5	this morning. And so on Tuesday, November 8th, at
6	approximately 9:00 a.m. the jury asked:
7	"Judge Wright, good morning. May we please have
8	transcripts for Ted Martens testimony and Karl Jareks
9	testimony. Please and thanks. The Jury."
10	And my proposed response to the jury is:
11	"At the start of the trial the Court provided you
12	with the following preliminary instruction," and then
13	quoting, "'At the end of trial you must make your decision
14	based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have
15	a written copy of the testimony to refer to. Because of
16	this, you have to pay close attention to the testimony and
17	other evidence as it is presented here in the courtroom. If
18	you wish, however, you may take notes to help you remember
19	what witnesses said.'"
20	And so that is the answer that I plan to give. Do
21	either of the parties wish to be heard?
22	MR. MOHEBAN: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning.
23	Keith Moheban for BMO Harris Bank.
24	THE COURT: Good morning.
25	MR. MOHEBAN: Judge, I think this jury is doing

what we want them to do. They are in their third day of deliberation. They're asking lots of questions. They're studying the evidence. And their request for the transcripts is consistent with a jury doing what we would expect them to do and what we want to encourage them to do.

The transcripts are evidence. They're entitled to consider that. And I think we can take into account this was a long trial. There were 20-plus witnesses. We went over 13 days of testimony.

So although there are some cases where it's easy to remember everything, this may not be one of them. Plus, they had nearly a week off from the last testimony before they heard closings. So we're talking about testimony they heard ten days to two weeks ago.

So I don't think we want this to be a memory test for the jury. I know your instruction made clear that they should take notes. Until they deliberate, they don't always know what was important. They may remember things differently.

So it's certainly consistent with having the jury decide things on the evidence to grant the request and give them the transcripts. And to the extent that that would require redaction or removal of sidebars, we would be willing to take the laboring oar of preparing a draft for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alternatively, if the Court determines that giving the entire transcript is inconsistent with your prior instruction, your prior instruction does not preclude the notion of a read-back or providing them targeted portions of testimony. We don't know exactly what their request is. do know they've asked for testimony from both sides, sort of the counterpart witnesses that were provided by both sides. So an alternative to giving them the entire transcripts, which we favor, would be to ask them is there some particular testimony and we could have a read-back of that portion of the testimony, again, so this isn't a guessing game for the jury. It's not a memory test and they will then be allowed to make a decision based on the actual evidence and not, you know, what are now sort of long-ago memories of what was said in this courtroom, you know, weeks ago. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. MR. MARDER: Good morning, Your Honor. David Marder appearing again on behalf of plaintiff. THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Marder. MR. MARDER: Your Honor, the instruction that appears at the bottom of the page and that appeared in the jury instructions comes directly from the Eighth Circuit's pattern jury instructions.

At the beginning of this trial the parties met and conferred and both stipulated to this instruction and both agreed at the outset that the jurors would not have a copy of the transcript.

So based on the fact that this is the Eighth Circuit's preferred language and based on the fact that the parties have already agreed and stipulated to this instruction that the jurors would not be receiving transcripts, we think that your response, which refers the jury to the agreed-upon instruction, is appropriate.

If Your Honor were inclined to give the transcripts, which we don't think you should, we certainly object to any targeted or redacted reading of the transcripts back to the jury. That would cause the jury to focus on one portion of the transcript as opposed to all the others, and we think that would certainly be inappropriate.

But setting that aside, Your Honor, I think it's clear, based upon the stipulation of the parties and the Eighth Circuit's preference on how to handle this, that the jury should rely on its notes and its memory rather than on any particular transcript.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. I appreciate your arguments. It is the ruling of the Court that the instruction will be given as I have presented to you. It will be presented to them in writing.

1	LAW CLERK: All rise.
2	THE COURT: Have a good morning.
3	MR. COLLYARD: Thank you.
4	(Recess taken at 9:53 a.m.)
5	* * * *
6	(10:49 a.m.)
7	IN OPEN COURT
8	(JURY NOT PRESENT)
9	THE COURT: Counsel, please note your appearances.
10	MR. COLLYARD: Good morning, Your Honor. Mike
11	Collyard on behalf of Plaintiff Douglas Kelley.
12	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Collyard.
13	MR. GLEESON: Good morning, Judge. John Gleeson
14	on behalf of BMO Harris Bank.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Gleeson.
16	The jury has informed me that they have reached a
17	verdict. We will retrieve the jury now.
18	(Pause)
19	IN OPEN COURT
20	(JURY PRESENT)
21	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
22	Members of the Jury, I understand you have reached
23	a verdict. Is that correct?
24	THE JURY: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Who was elected as your foreperson?

1	FOREPERSON: Oh, me.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. And, sir, is the verdict a
3	unanimous verdict?
4	FOREPERSON: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Would you please provide the
6	CSO the verdict form.
7	(Document handed to the Court)
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	(Pause)
10	THE COURT: I will now read the verdict aloud.
11	Members of the Jury, please pay close attention. After I
12	read the verdict, I will poll you and ask you if this is, in
13	fact, your verdict.
14	We, the jury, in this case, unanimously make these
15	answers to the following questions:
16	Count I, Minnesota Uniform Fiduciaries Act. Do
17	you find in favor of plaintiff and against defendant on
18	Count I, which alleges a violation of the Minnesota Uniform
19	Fiduciaries Act? And the answer is no.
20	Count II. Do you find in favor of plaintiff and
21	against defendant on Count II, which alleges breach of
22	fiduciary duty? The answer: No.
23	Count III, Aiding and Abetting Fraud. Do you find
24	in favor of plaintiff and against defendant on Count III,
25	which alleges aiding and abetting fraud? Answer: No.

1 Count IV, Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary 2 Do you find in favor of plaintiff and against 3 defendant on Count IV, which alleges aiding and abetting 4 breach of fiduciary duty? Answer: Yes. 5 Then you're directed to answer Question Numbers 5 6 and 6 only if you answered "yes" to at least one of the 7 previous questions. Having done so, if you answer -- I will 8 read your response. 9 Question Number 5. What sum of money will fairly 10 and adequately compensate plaintiff for any harm arising 11 from any claim or claims on which you have found in favor of 12 plaintiff? The amount: 484,209,716. 13 You may not award punitive damages against the 14 defendant unless you have first found against the defendant 15 on at least one of the plaintiff's claims by answering "yes" 16 to at least one of the first four questions. And you have 17 provided an answer to Question 5. 18 If you answered no to all of the first four 19 questions and you have not provided an answer to Question 5, 20 do not answer Question Number 6. 21 Question Number 6. We assess punitive damages 22 against defendant in the amount of \$79,533,394. 23 We, the jury, have answered the foregoing 24 questions as indicated and return the same to the Court as 25 our verdict.

```
1
                 I will now poll the jury, and I will start in the
2
       back row and I will -- you will be juror number 1 and then
 3
       we will just go through. Then to the front, and I will
 4
       start on the left and we'll go through. Okay?
 5
                 So juror number 1, is this your verdict?
 6
                 JUROR 5: Yes.
7
                 THE COURT: Juror number 2?
                 JUROR 7: Yes.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Juror number 3?
10
                 JUROR 9: Yes.
                 THE COURT: Juror number 4?
11
12
                 JUROR 11: Yes.
13
                 THE COURT: Juror number 5?
14
                 JUROR 12: Yes.
15
                 THE COURT: Juror number 6?
16
                 JUROR 16: Yes.
17
                 THE COURT: Juror number 7?
18
                 JUROR 18: Yes, Your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: Juror Number 8.
20
                 JUROR 25: Yes.
21
                 THE COURT: Juror number 9?
22
                 JUROR 27: Yes.
                 THE COURT: Juror number 10?
23
24
                 JUROR 28: Yes.
25
                 THE COURT: And juror number 11?
```

1 JUROR 10: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Very well. Then, Members of the Jury, 3 you have performed your responsibilities for this Court and 4 I want to thank you here in open court for the time, the 5 care, the attention, and the hard work that you have done 6 throughout this proceeding. 7 As you well know better than I, the difficulty of 8 sitting and listening to lots of information, processing 9 that information without discussion, and then having an 10 opportunity when going back for your deliberations to 11 discuss that amount of information in a complex area and 12 render verdicts as you have done. 13 The jury service that you have performed is one of 14 the most important types of service that you can perform in 15 the United States under our Constitution, and you have done 16 so well and I thank you very much for your service. 17 All rise. 18 MR. MOHEBAN: Your Honor, may I be heard? 19 THE COURT: You may proceed. 20 MR. MOHEBAN: My request --21 I have not given you permission. THE COURT: 22 will have permission outside of the presence of the jury. 23 (Jury excused) 24 IN OPEN COURT 25 (JURY NOT PRESENT)

```
1
                 THE COURT: You may be seated. You wish to be
2
       heard?
 3
                 MR. MOHEBAN: Yes. My request Is only that we
       have an opportunity to review the verdict form before the
 4
 5
       jury is discharged.
                 THE COURT: Okay. You wish to do so right now?
 6
 7
                 MR. MOHEBAN: Yes.
 8
                 THE COURT: You may do so right now.
 9
           (Counsel review verdict form)
10
                 MR. MOHEBAN:
                              Thank you.
11
                 THE COURT: You're welcome.
12
                 There being no additional matters before the Court
13
       at this time --
14
                 MR. GLEESON: Judge, one request.
15
                 THE COURT: You may make your request.
16
                              There are a couple of matters.
                 MR. GLEESON:
17
       would like just a -- maybe two weeks to brief before the
18
       entry of judgment. One relates to you may recall in the in
19
       limine -- in the decision on the in limine application
20
       regarding offsets, that the Court said -- and this was
21
       ECF 241, was your decision, page 11 -- to the extent that
22
       there might be reductions based on collateral sources, that
23
       could be the subject of a post-trial application.
24
                 That issue and the appropriateness of statutory
25
       interest are a couple of issues, and I think that's all, but
```

1 we would like to -- a little opportunity to think about 2 whether there's any others. 3 But before the entry of judgment, we would like to be heard on those two issues at least and we ask two weeks 4 5 to have an opportunity to do that. That's our application. MR. MARDER: Your Honor, in the motion in limine 6 7 you indicated that the offsets were irrelevant. And then as 8 an alternative point you said even if offsets weren't 9 irrelevant, then it's something that could be considered 10 later, but your primary position in the motion in limine was 11 that the offsets are irrelevant. That's the position that 12 has been taken over and over again by both the Bankruptcy 13 Court and this Court. 14 And to the extent the defendants want to brief 15 that issue, they can do it 28 days after the entry of 16 judgment in the context of a motion for a new trial or JMOL, 17 but in no place did you indicate in your prior decisions 18 that there would be some kind of post-verdict procedure 19 whereby we would subtract offsets. 20 That's simply not what's said in the motion in 21 limine. You said that as an alternative, even if you --22 even if these were relevant, they wouldn't be included until 23 some kind of post-judgment proceeding.

simply no need for that.

So we strongly object to any briefing.

24

25

1	With respect to the two weeks for the prejudgment
2	interest, I think that's acceptable to us. We think that
3	the judgment should incorporate prejudgment interest and the
4	parties probably should brief that so Your Honor could have
5	the benefit of our views on that.
6	But we strenuously object to any effort to try to
7	change this verdict that this jury worked so hard to render.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. GLEESON: Just to be clear, Judge, what you
10	wrote was, "Moreover, to the extent that BMO Harris believes
11	that it may be entitled to a reduction based on collateral
12	source payments, the appropriate procedure is for BMO Harris
13	to seek a reduction after trial," and you cited the Clark
14	vs. Burlington Northern case of the Eighth Circuit.
15	So we do think it's an issue that the Court
16	expressly reserved post trial that influences the content of
17	the judgment. It's not a post-judgment motion.
18	One other thing, Judge, that I will just point out
19	is the
20	THE COURT: Do you know what, Counsel? I am going
21	to take a break right now. We will take a 15-minute break
22	and we will resume. I am going to dismiss the jury.
23	(Recess taken at 11:04 a.m.)
24	* * * *

1 IN OPEN COURT 2 (JURY NOT PRESENT) 3 THE COURT: Please be seated. Does counsel wish to be heard? You will have five minutes. I have some other 4 5 matters that need to be addressed. MR. GLEESON: Just to complete the last thought, I 6 7 already read to you the Court's invitation or at least the 8 Court's acknowledgement that there might be a post-trial 9 motion with regard to offsets. We asked for two weeks to do 10 that. We ask for two weeks to address the appropriateness 11 of statutory interest. 12 And just a reminder to the Court that in addition 13 to what we suggest is the need for that work to be done, 14 there are equitable defenses you reserved. We assume you 15 need no more briefing on that. 16 THE COURT: I need no more briefing on that. 17 Thank you, Counsel. MR. GLEESON: Okay. And that's our request, and 18 19 thank you to the Court. 20 THE COURT: You're welcome. 21 MR. MARDER: Your Honor, just very briefly. 22 went back to your decision on the motion in limine and it 23 just confirmed my memory. You specifically say, "For these 24 reasons, reducing the trustee's damages based on settlements 25 the trustee negotiated with third parties would be improper

1	and would not accurately reflect BMO Harris's alleged
2	liability." You then go on and say, moreover, this is
3	the procedure would be to attack this post trial.
4	So it's very clear that that was an alternative
5	holding and that your primary holding was that offsets are
6	irrelevant. You then simply said even if they weren't
7	irrelevant, this isn't the time to address that.
8	Secondly, Your Honor, I'm unaware of any procedure
9	that would allow them to attack this verdict before
10	judgment. If they're talking about a remitter motion, we're
11	looking at Rule 59(e), motion to alter or amend judgment.
12	If they're looking at a JMOL, that's also post judgment.
13	There is no procedure that I'm aware of in the federal rules
14	that allows them to attack this verdict before the judgment
15	even issues.
16	So we would strongly object to any briefing on
17	offsets, and we do agree that we should have two weeks to
18	address the prejudgment interest.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. We are in recess.
20	(Court adjourned at 11:19 a.m.)
21	* * *
22	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
24	Certified by: <u>s/ Lori A. Simpson</u>
25	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
7	HOLL II. DIMPSOII, ITH CIVIC